POSTAL

**EXPRESS** 

Erie, PA 16507 P.O. Box 1585 April 02, 2006

P.O. Box 1311 3366 Lynnwood Drive Roberta B. Heath, Esq Altoona, PA 16603-1311 Andrews & Beard Law Office

Page 1 of 1

Re: de Leon v. Crawford Central School District et at (No. 05-126E) Subpoena for Income Returns of Claudette dolleon for years 2003, 2004 and 2005 Tax

Dear Ms. Hearb:

production of Mrs. deLean's Tax Returns for years 2003, 2004 and 2005 I am in receipt of your letter of March 24, 2006 together with a subpoena calling for the

shown to have unusual relevance to the matter at trial. To date, defendants have made no such of Evidence 402 and 403, a party's financial condition is normally non-disclosable unless it capacity in issue. McDonald v. Linton's Lunch 10 Pa. D&C 2d 528. Under Pennsylvania Relies plaintiff are privileged from inspection by Defendants where plaintiff has not placed her earning the plaintiff, to date, has put her earning capacity or financial condition in issue. <u>Jorio v.</u> Carnegie Borough D&C 3<sup>rd</sup> 236 (1980). Copies of federal income tax returns retained by returns which we view as privileged and confidential. Nothing involving the lawsuit initiated by Unfortunately, the plaintiff must decline to honor your request because it seeks income tax

Document 35-3

House of representative 519 A. 2d 408 (Pa. 1986). violative of plaintiff's constitutional rights of privacy. (Pa. R.C.P. No. 234.4). Lunderstadt will request that it be quashed on the grounds that it is overbroad, overreaching, irrelevant a Accordingly, we advise that you withdraw the subpoena. If, however, you seek to enforce it

Very truly yours

Claudette deLexin Counsel for Plaintiff Calco Nichols